

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 2254 09/845,336 05/01/2001 Toshiya Uemura PW 280291 T36-131965M/KOH **EXAMINER** 03/21/2006 21254 7590 MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC SCHILLINGER, LAURA M 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817

2813

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					5/
		Application No) .	Applicant(s)	
1. r		09/845,336		UEMURA ET AL.	
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Laura M. Schilli	nger	2813	
The MAILING D	ATE of this communication ap	opears on the cove	er sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATE WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is specifications. - Failure to reply within the set	GER, FROM THE MAILING I vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 the mailing date of this communication. ified above, the maximum statutory perior or extended period for reply will, by statutice later than three months after the mail nt. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS C .136(a). In no event, how d will apply and will expirute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be tin e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a) This action is FI 3) Since this applic	ommunication(s) filed on <u>30.</u> NAL. 2b)⊠ The cation is in condition for allow lance with the practice under	is action is non-fi ance except for fo	ormal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,15</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	19 and 23-31 is/are rejected	awn from conside	eration.		
Application Papers					
10) The drawing(s) f Applicant may no Replacement dra	is objected to by the Examinited on is/are: a) active any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the corresponding is objected to by the larger	ccepted or b) of or	d in abeyance. Se he drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				
12) Acknowledgmer a) All b) Sor 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application	t is made of a claim for foreig	nts have been red nts have been red iority documents l eau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applicat nave been receiv 2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage
	rd (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	4) [98) 5) [6) [–		⁻ O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Allowability is hereby withdrawn in view of newly discovered prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-2, 4-7, 17-18, 23-24, 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ishikawa (JP 11330565 A).

In reference to claim 1, Ishikawa teaches a device comprising:

A semiconductor laminate portion including a light-emitting layer (34); and

A reflection surface (44) disposed so as to be opposite to a side surface of the semiconductor laminate portion (34), wherein the semiconductor laminate portion (34) and the reflection surface(44) are provided in one and the same chip (base -16), and a predetermined distance is provided between the semiconductor laminate portion and the reflection surface (Fig.6).

In reference to claim 2, Ishikawa teaches wherein the reflection surface (44) reflects light from the side surface of the semiconductor laminate portion (34) into a direction of an optical axis of the light-emitting device (Fig.6).

In reference to claim 4, Ishikawa teaches wherein the reflection surface is made of a material which is the same as that of an n pad electrode (0037 and 0041).

In reference to claim 5, Ishikawa teaches wherein a portion of the n pad electrode opposite to the side surface of the semiconductor laminate portion forms a second reflection surface (0037).

In reference to claim 6, Ishikawa teaches wherein the reflection surface is formed on an n-type semiconductor layer which is formed by etching a first depth, and the n pad electrode is formed on the n-type semiconductor layer which is formed by etching to be a second depth shallower than the first depth (Fig.4b).

In reference to claim 7, Ishikawa teaches wherein the reflection surface is formed integrally with the n pad electrode (0037).

- 17. A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein said reflection surface is formed on a layer in said semiconductor laminate portion (0037).
- 18. (Previously presented) A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein an upper surface of said reflection surface (44) is elevated higher than said light-emitting layer (34) (Fig.6).

- 23. A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein said reflection surface reflects light emitted from said side surface of said semiconductor laminate portion (Fig.6)
- 24. A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein said reflection surface comprises a shape for reflecting light in a direction of an optical axis for said light emitting device (Fig.6).
- 26. A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein said direction of an optical axis comprises a direction of a center axis of said device (Fig.6).
- 27. (Previously presented) A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, further comprising: an n-pad electrode formed on said semiconductor laminate portions said reflection surface comprising a side surface of said n-pad electrode having a shape for reflecting light in a direction of an optical axis for said light-emitting device (Fig.6 and 0037).
- 28. (Previously presented) A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein said reflection surface is formed around a circumference of said light-emitting device (Fig.6).

- 29. A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein at least a portion of the reflection surface (44) is formed near a plane of said light-emitting layer (34) (Fig.6).
- 30. A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein said reflection surface (44) is disposed so as to be transversely opposite to a side surface of said light-emitting layer (34) (Fig.6).
- 31. A group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein said reflection surface comprises a thickness of at least 0.7 um (Col.5, lines: 1-10-sum of thickness of laminate layers).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 15-16, 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa (JP 411330565A) in further view of Komoto ('940).

Ishikawa teaches the limitations of claim 1, however fails to teach the limitations of claims 3, 15-16, and 25 as pertaining to the distance between the reflective layer and the light emitting layer.

However Komoto teaches a similar structure including a groove etched in the layers to provide a separated reflective surface for a side light emitting layer and teaches:

In reference to claim 3, Komoto teaches wherein a distance between the reflection surface and the side surface of the semiconductor laminate portion is in a range of from 0.1 to 10 um (col.5, lines: 60-68).

In reference to claim 15, Komoto teaches wherein a distance between the reflection surface and the side surface of the semiconductor laminate portion is in a range of from 0.2 to 7um (Col.5, lines: 60-68).

In reference to claim 16, Komoto teaches wherein a distance between the reflection surface and the side surface of the semiconductor laminate portion is in a range of from 0.3 to 5um (Col.5, lines:60-68).

25. Komoto teaches group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein said predetermined distance comprises a distance between said reflection surface and said side surface of said semiconductor laminate portion which is no greater than 10 um (Col.5, lines: 60-68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ishikawa's teachings to further include the distance ranges taught by Komoto because such distances are suitable for LEDs and moreover, these claims are prima facie obvious without showing that the claimed ranges achieve unexpected results relative to the prior art range. In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1935, 1937 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See also In re Huang, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1688(Fed. Cir. 1996)(claimed ranges of a result effective variable, which do not overlap the prior art ranges, are unpatentable unless they produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely in degree from the results of the prior art). See also In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA) (discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art) and In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955) (selection of optimum ranges within prior art general conditions is obvious).

Lastly, Ishikawa teaches the limitations of claim 1, however fails to teach the limitation of claim 19 wherein group III nitride compound semiconductor light-emitting device according to claim 1, wherein said reflection surface comprises a curved reflection. However, Komoto teaches the groove may have a curved surface (Fig.3C). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the grooves taught by Ishikawa, curved as taught by Komoto since Komoto teaches the shape may be either square or circular (Col.6, lines: 15-20).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O3/16/06 Males

Laura M Schillinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813